SURROGATE'S COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW	YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK	

-----X

In the Matter of

DALIA GENGER, Trustee of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust.

Petitioner,

-against-

ORLY GENGER, ARIE GENGER, GLENCLOVA INVESTMENT COMPANY, TR INVESTORS, LLC NEW TR EQUITY I, LLC, NEW TR EQUITY II, LLC, TRANS-RESOURCES, INC., ARNOLD BROSER, DAVID BROSER, JOHN DOES. 1-20, and JANE DOES 1-20,

New York County Surrogate's Court
MISCELLANEOUS DEPT.

JUN 1 4 2016

FILED
Clerk\_\_\_\_\_\_

Index No. 2008-17

PETITION FOR TURNOVER OF TRUST PROPERTY AND OTHER RELIEF

Respondents,	
***************************************	X

TO THE SURROGATE'S COURT, COUNTY OF NEW YORK:

It is respectfully alleged:

### **The Parties**

- 1. Petitioner, Dalia Genger, resides and is domiciled at 200 E. 65<sup>th</sup> Street, in the City of New York, County of New York and State of New York.
  - 2. Petitioner is the Trustee of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust (the "Orly Trust").
- 3. Respondent Orly Genger ("Orly") is the only non-contingent beneficiary of the Orly Trust. The remaining respondents ("Respondents") are interested parties who wrongfully aided and abetted Orly in the misappropriation of Orly Trust assets.

### Jurisdiction and Venue

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Surrogate's Court Procedure Act, inter alia, SCPA 103(50), SCPA 207(1), and SCPA 209(6).

5. Venue in this County is proper pursuant to, inter alia, SCPA 207(1).

#### The Orly Trust

- 6. On or around December 13, 1993, Arie Genger ("Arie") settled the Orly Trust pursuant to a written Trust Agreement executed by Arie, as Grantor, the two prior Trustees, Lawrence M. Small and Sash A. Spencer (the "Trust Agreement"). (Ex. 1.)
- 7. Pursuant to Article ELEVENTH, Section C of the Trust Agreement, all trust funds are to be held in the name of the Orly Trust, and no Orly Trust assets are to be used for the benefit of Orly's creditors (the "Trust Agreement Obligations").
  - 8. The Respondents were aware of the Trust Agreement Obligations.

### **Orly Trust Derivative Litigation**

- 9. In July 2010, Orly instituted a derivative action the Supreme Court, New York County entitled Arie Genger, et al. v. Sagi Genger, et al., Index No. 651089/2010, by which she brought claims "on behalf of the Orly Trust as the beneficiary of the Orly Trust" (the "Orly Trust Derivative Litigation"). The Complaint sought to assert claims for the Orly Trust's ownership in shares of stock in a company called Trans-Resources, Inc. ("TRI"). Orly later amended her pleading to assert claims against, inter alia, the purchasers of those TRI shares, Respondents Glenclova Investment Company, TR Investors, LLC, New TR Equity I, LLC, and New TR Equity II, and TRI (collectively, the "Trump Group Entities"). (Ex. 2.)
- 10. On January 2, 2013, in the Orly Trust Derivative Litigation, Justice Jaffe of the Supreme Court, New York County adopted Orly's position that she "has legal standing to represent the Orly Trust" and "may act on behalf of the Orly Trust unless and until the Surrogate's Court rules otherwise in an appropriate action there." (Ex. 3.)
  - 11. Earlier on in the same Orly Trust Derivative Litigation, Orly moved to restrain

Petitioner from pursuing what Orly characterized as a "duplicative" action as Trustee of the Orly Trust before the Delaware Chancery Court, by which Petitioner was seeking a declaration of obtain ownership of the same TRI shares. According to Orly: "In the instant action ..., I seek, among other things, the return of those [same] TRI Shares to the Orly Trust." Also according to Orly, Petitioner's "contention that the Orly Trust is not a party to this action ... is meritless. Orly is prosecuting this action on behalf of the Orly Trust." The Supreme Court, New York County, agreed, restraining Petitioner from pursuing the action in the Delaware Chancery Court by which the Orly Trust had sought the TRI shares. (Ex. 4.)

- 12. As a derivative plaintiff for the Orly Trust, having prevented the 'duplicative litigation' brought by Petitioner herself on behalf of the Orly Trust, Orly became the de facto trustee of the Orly Trust and owed fiduciary duties to the Orly Trust.
  - 13. Respondents knew that Orly owed fiduciary duties to the Orly Trust.

## <u>Discontinuance With Prejudice of</u> The Orly Trust Claims

- 14. In June 2013, Orly disclosed that she had "entered into a confidential settlement agreement to resolve all issues among the stipulating parties," which included the Trump Group Entities. However, the settlement terms were left undisclosed. Petitioner sought production of the settlement agreement, but Orly refused to produce it, claiming the document was too "confidential." When it was proposed that the document be produced under "Attorney's Eye's Only" limitation, Orly refused that proposal as well. Instead, Orly submitted the Settlement Agreement to Justice Jaffe, unsolicited, for <u>in camera</u> inspection.
- 15. By letter dated June 28, 2013, counsel to the Trump Group Entities wrote to the Court on behalf of <u>all</u> settling parties "including ... Orly Genger" confirming that: "A material term of the agreement among the settling parties was the dismissal of *all* claims presently

pending against one another, in whatever capacity they were brought. [If the settlement stipulation was drafted so as to] have the effect of *not* dismissing Orly Genger's derivative claims against the Trump Group [Entities], contrary to the agreement of the settling parties. Excluding such claims from the claims that are to be dismissed is not what the Trump Group [Entities] bargained and paid for in the settlement . . ." (Ex. 5, emphases in original.)

16. Neither Orly nor any of the other settling parties disagreed with counsel's statements regarding the scope of the settlement. Accordingly, on July 1, 2013, Justice Jaffe signed the requested Stipulation and Order of Discontinuance with Prejudice. (Ex. 6.)

### **The Trump Group Entities Settlement Agreement**

- directed Orly to produce her settlement agreement with the Trump Group Entities (the "Trump Group Entities Settlement Agreement"). (Ex. 7.) The document revealed that Orly had settled her claims against the Trump Group Entities both "in her individual capacity and in her capacity as beneficiary of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust" the latter being the very capacity by which this Court permitted Orly to assert derivative claims. According to its signatories, the purpose of the agreement was "to resolve all issues, disputes and disagreements between [Orly and the other Settling Parties], including but not limited to the issue of ownership of all [TRI] shares," most significantly those TRI shares claimed by the Orly Trust.
- 18. In exchange, the Trump Group Entities agreed to pay \$32.3 million to the so-called "AG Group," consisting of Orly, her father Arie, and Respondents Arnold and David Broser (collectively, "the Brosers"). Upon information and belief, the Brosers are creditors of Orly personally, to whom Orly owes many millions of dollars. The payments were broken up into: (a) an immediate payment of \$17.3 million and (b) two follow-up payments of \$7.5 million.

According to the federal court, which reviewed the document, "Orly monetized her beneficial interest in the Orly Trust['s] [TRI] shares for \$32.3 million ...." (Ex. 8.)

19. The Trump Group Entities have since reaffirmed that the federal court construed the Trump Group Entities Settlement Agreement correctly:

"[Any suggestion] that the confidential settlement agreement *might* only dis-miss Orly's individual claims against the Trump Group [Entities], but not resolve the Orly Trust's claims against the Trump Group [Entities] and the TPR Group . . . is counterfactual. This Court has already held that certain of Orly's claims in this action, including the remaining claims, are derivative in nature, and may be maintained by Orly on behalf of the Orly Genger 1993 Trust. ... In settling the claims among them, the Trump Group [Entities], Trans-Resources, Orly and Arie agreed to the dismissal of all claims presently pending against one another. This agreement is memorialized in the Second Amended Stipulation of Discontinuance.

20. Evidencing this intent, in Section 6(a) of the Trump Group Entities Settlement Agreement, Orly settled and released all of her claims in the Trump Group Entities Settlement in both her derivative and individual capacities. (Ex. 7, p. 10: Orly releasing the Trumps "in all capacities.") In Section 8(a) thereof, Orly further agreed to "cause" the Orly Trust to do the same, which she later did, advising the Delaware Chancery Court that she favored dismissal of Petitioner's action on behalf of the Orly Trust. The case was dismissed.

### **The Settlement Proceeds**

- 21. Under applicable law, the proceeds of a settlement obtained in a derivative lawsuit belong to the direct plaintiff (in this case, the Orly Trust), not the derivative plaintiff (in this case, Orly personally). Nonetheless, to date, none of the aforementioned \$32.3 million (the "Settlement Proceeds") has been remitted to the Orly Trust, nor have any of the settling parties indicated an intention to do so in the future.
- 22. At a hearing before Justice Jaffe on March 25, 2015, counsel to the Trump Group Entities confirmed that \$17.3 million of the Settlement Proceeds has already been paid, but that

\$15 million remains to be paid, less possible "set-offs" and subject to possible acceleration or extension of those payment dates. (Ex. 9, pp. 10-11.)

- 23. In a recent brief, Orly has alleged that, to date, she has not personally received any of the Settlement Proceeds. If true, this means \$17.3 million of the Settlement Proceeds has been paid to other members of the "AG Group," i.e., the Brosers and Arie (the "Initial Payment"). Orly has not disclosed the intended recipients of the remaining \$15 million.
- 24. Consistent with her fiduciary duty to the Orly Trust and the express terms of the Trust Agreement Obligations, Orly was required to ensure that the Trump Group Entities Settlement proceeds were paid to the Orly Trust. Orly failed to comply with these duties and obligations. Respondents were aware of, and aided and abetted, Orly's breaches of her fiduciary duty to the Orly Trust and the express terms of the Trust Agreement Obligations.
- 25. In addition to the named Respondents, Orly was further aided and abetted in her breaches of her fiduciary duty to the Orly Trust and the express terms of the Trust Agreement Obligations was aided and abetted by other attorneys, advisors and other professionals who were aware of her duties and obligations and profited from the breach thereof. Petitioner reserves her right to amend her Petition to add these John Does and Jane Does as additional Respondents, as further facts become available through discovery and otherwise.

#### **Petitioner's Substitution Motion**

26. In order to protect the rights of the Orly Trust, Petitioner moved in the Supreme Court, New York County, for an order permitting it to substitute for Orly as plaintiff in the Orly Trust Derivative Litigation and compelling the settling parties to deposit the Settlement Proceeds into Court. Orly vigorously opposed the Petitioner's motion, on the ground, inter alia, that she is seeking to remove the Petitioner as Trustee of the Orly Trust. By Interim Order dated May 7,

2015, Justice Jaffe neither granted nor denied the motion, but rather held it in abeyance pending this Court's determination of Orly's removal petition. (Ex. 10.)

27. At the March 25, 2015 hearing on Petitioner's motion, Justice Jaffe suggested it might already be too late to deposit the Initial Payment into Court, as the \$17.3 million apparently has already been paid out to non-parties. (Ex. 9, p. 28: "it sounds like that ship has sailed"). Accordingly, Petitioner brings this Petition seeking, inter alia, monetary damages for misappropriation of Orly Trust assets. To the extent such Settlement Proceeds are recoverable, Petitioner seeks turnover of those funds for the Orly Trust.

## Count I: Aiding and Abetting Breach of Fiduciary Duty Against All Respondents

- 28. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 27 hereinbefore are realleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 29. Because the Orly Trust Derivative Litigation was brought on behalf of the Orly Trust, the Settlement Proceeds therefrom belong to the Orly Trust.
  - 30. Orly owed a fiduciary duty to the Orly Trust.
- 31. Consistent with her fiduciary duty to the Orly Trust, Orly was required to ensure that the Settlement Proceeds were paid to the Orly Trust.
- 32. Orly did not so do. Instead, she entered into the Trump Group Entities Settlement Agreement, which provided for the Settlement Proceeds to be paid to parties other than the Orly Trust, and she authorized payment of the Settlement Proceeds to such other parties.
  - 33. Orly's actions constitute a breach of fiduciary duty.
  - 34. Respondents were aware of Orly's fiduciary duty to the Orly Trust.
- 35. Respondents knowingly induced and/or participated in Orly's breach of her fiduciary duty to the Orly Trust by, <u>inter alia</u>, taking the Settlement Proceeds which belong to the

Orly Trust, by drafting and entering into the Trump Group Entities Settlement Agreement which failed to direct payment of the Settlement Proceeds to the Orly Trust, by advising and inducing Orly to enter into the Trump Group Entities Settlement Agreement which failed to direct payment of the Settlement Proceeds to the Orly Trust, and/or by directing and authorizing payment of the Settlement Proceeds to parties other than the Orly Trust.

- 36. In doing so, Respondents aided and abetted Orly's breach of fiduciary duty.
- 37. The Orly Trust has been injured by Respondents' aiding and abetting of Orly's breach of her fiduciary duty to the Orly Trust in the amount of \$32.3 million, plus statutory interest.

### Count II: Tortious Interference with Contract Against All Respondents

- 38. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 37 hereinbefore are realleged as if fully set forth herein.
  - 39. The Trust Agreement is a valid contractual agreement by the Orly Trust.
- 40. Pursuant to the Trust Agreement Obligations, all trust funds were to be held in the name of the Orly Trust, and no Orly Trust assets were to be used for the benefit of Orly's creditors.
- 41. Because the Orly Trust Derivative Litigation was brought on behalf of the Orly Trust, the Settlement Proceeds therefrom belong to the Orly Trust.
  - 42. Respondents were aware of the Trust Agreement Obligations.
- 43. The Orly Trust, acting through its de facto trustee Orly in the Orly Trust Derivative Litigation, breached the Trust Agreement Obligations by causing the Settlement Trustees to not be held in the name of the Orly Trust, and to instead use the Settlement Proceeds for the benefit of Orly's creditors, including the Brosers.

- 44. Respondents caused the Orly Trust's breach of the Trust Agreement Obligations by, inter alia, taking the Settlement Proceeds which belong to the Orly Trust, by drafting and entering into the Trump Group Entities Settlement Agreement which failed to direct payment of the Settlement Proceeds to the Orly Trust, by advising and inducing Orly to enter into the Trump Group Entities Settlement Agreement which failed to direct payment of the Settlement Proceeds to the Orly Trust, and/or by directing and authorizing payment of the Settlement Proceeds to parties other than the Orly Trust.
- 45. In doing so, Respondents tortiously interfered with the Trust Agreement Obligations.
- 46. Respondents' interference with the Trust Agreement Obligations was both intentional and improper.
- 47. The Orly Trust has been injured by Respondents' tortious interference with contract in the amount of \$32.3 million, plus statutory interest.

### Count III: Money Had and Received Against All Respondents

- 48. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 47 hereinbefore are realleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 49. Pursuant to the Trust Agreement Obligations, all trust funds were to be held in the name of the Orly Trust, and no Orly Trust assets were to be used for the benefit of Orly's creditors.
- 50. Because the Orly Trust Derivative Litigation was brought on behalf of the Orly Trust, the Settlement Proceeds therefrom belong to the Orly Trust.
- 51. Orly was required to ensure that the Settlement Proceeds were paid to the Orly Trust.

- 52. Respondents knew that Orly was required to ensure that the Trump Group Entities Settlement proceeds were paid to the Orly Trust.
- 53. However, pursuant to the terms of the Trump Group Entities Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Proceeds were and are to be paid by the Trump Group Entities to parties other than the Orly Trust.
  - 54. None of the Settlement Proceeds have been paid to the Orly Trust.
- 55. Respondents received and/or are currently holding the Settlement Proceeds instead of the Orly Trust.
- 56. Respondents received a benefit from the Settlement Proceeds which belongs to the Orly Trust.
- 57. Under principles of good conscience, Respondents should not be allowed to retain the Settlement Proceeds which belong to the Orly Trust, but rather should be compelled to return all \$32.3 million to the Orly Trust, plus statutory interest.

## Count IV: Unjust Enrichment Against All Respondents Except Trump Group Entities

- 58. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 57 hereinbefore are realleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 59. Pursuant to the Trust Agreement Obligations, all trust funds were to be held in the name of the Orly Trust, and no Orly Trust assets were to be used for the benefit of Orly's creditors.
- 60. Because the Orly Trust Derivative Litigation was brought on behalf of the Orly Trust, the Settlement Proceeds therefrom belong to the Orly Trust.
- 61. Orly was required to ensure that the Settlement Proceeds were paid to the Orly Trust.

- 62. Respondents knew that Orly was required to ensure that the Settlement Proceeds were required to be paid to the Orly Trust.
- 63. Respondents (except the Trump Group Entities) received the Settlement Proceeds instead of the Orly Trust.
- 64. Respondents (except the Trump Group Entities) received a benefit from receiving the Settlement Proceeds which belong to the Orly Trust.
- 65. The benefit Respondents (except the Trump Group Entities) received from payment of the Settlement Proceeds was at the expense of the Orly Trust.
- 66. Under principles of equity and good conscience, Respondents (except the Trump Group Entities) must make restitution to the Orly Trust in the amount of \$32.3 million, plus statutory interest.

## Count V: Turnover Against All Respondents

- 67. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 66 hereinbefore are realleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 68. Pursuant to the Trust Agreement Obligations, all trust funds were to be held in the name of the Orly Trust, and no Orly Trust assets were to be used for the benefit of Orly's creditors.
- 69. Because the Orly Trust Derivative Litigation was brought on behalf of the Orly Trust, the Settlement Proceeds therefrom belong to the Orly Trust.
- 70. Orly was required to ensure that the Settlement Proceeds were paid to the Orly Trust.
- 71. Respondents knew that Orly was required to ensure that the Settlement Proceeds were required to be paid to the Orly Trust.

- 72. Respondents (except the Trump Group Entities) received the Settlement Proceeds instead of the Orly Trust.
- 73. Respondents (except the Trump Group Entities) have in their possession the Settlement Proceeds which should be delivered to the Orly Trust.
- 74. Respondents have refused to turn over the Settlement Proceeds to the Orly Trust or pay it into Court.
- 75. Respondents should be compelled to turn over to the Orly Trust all Settlement Proceeds in their possession, custody or control.

# Count VI: Accounting **Against Respondent Orly**

- 76. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 75 hereinbefore are realleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 77. Orly has never provided an accounting of the Settlement Proceeds, which are an asset of the Orly Trust.
- 78. As a matter of law and equity, Orly should provide an accounting of the Settlement Proceeds.

### **Interested Parties**

- 79. The names and addresses of all persons, other than the Petitioner, interested in the obtaining a determination of the issues presented in this Petition, and all other persons interested in this proceeding who are required to be cited upon this application or concerning whom this Court is required to have information, are:
  - (a) The following who are of full age and sound mind or are corporations or associations:

ORLY GENGER 780 Greenwich Street, Apt. 4P New York, New York

Interest: Beneficiary and Respondent

ARIE GENGER 17001 Collins Avenue, Sunny Isles Beach, Florida

Interest: Respondent

GLENCLOVA INVESTMENT COMPANY 41 Madison Ave, New York, New York

Interest: Respondent

TR INVESTORS, LLC 41 Madison Ave, New York, New York

Interest: Respondent

NEW TR EQUITY I, LLC 41 Madison Ave, New York, New York

Interest: Respondent

NEW TR EQUITY II, LLC, 41 Madison Ave, New York, New York

Interest: Respondent

TRANS-RESOURCES, INC. 41 Madison Ave, New York, New York

Interest: Respondent

ARNOLD BROSER 5371 Fisher Island Drive, Miami Beach, Florida

Interest: Respondent

DAVID BROSER 104 West 40th Street, 19th Floor New York, New York

Interest: Respondent

SAGI GENGER 1993 TRUST C/O John Dellaportas Morgan, Lewis 101 Park Avenue, New York, New York

Interest: Contingent Remainderman

(b) The following who are persons under disability:

Infants: None

Others under a disability: None

(c) The following persons who are confined in prison: None

(d) The following persons whose names or whereabouts are unknown: None

80. There are no persons, corporations or associations other than those mentioned who are interested in this proceeding.

81. No previous application for this or similar relief has been made to this or any other court except Motion Seq. 42 (for payment into Court) in the Orly Trust Derivative Litigation, entitled <u>Arie Genger</u>, et al. v. Sagi Genger, et al., Index No. 651089/2010 in New York Supreme Court, New York County. Motion Seq. 42 was held in abeyance by the Supreme Court pending a determination by this Court.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner requests the following relief against all Respondents:

- a. damages in in the amount of \$32.3 million, plus statutory interest;
- b. imposition of a constructive trust on the Settlement Proceeds;
- c. an order directing the delivery of the Settlement Proceeds to her as Trustee;
- d. an accounting of the Settlement Proceeds; and
- e. such other relief as to the Court seems just and necessary.

Dated: June 13, 2016

(Signature of Petitioner)

Dalia Genger

(Print Name)

STATE OF NEW YORK )		
) ss:		
COUNTY OF NEW YORK )		
VERIFICATION		
I, the undersigned the petitioner named in the fore	egoing petition, being duly sworn, say:	
VERIFICATION: I have read the foregoing pet thereof, and the same is true of my own knowled alleged upon information and belief, and as to the	lge, except as to the matters therein stated to be	
	Delia bengu	
	(Signature of Petitioner)	
	Dalia Genger	
	(Print Name)	
On the day of		
grun		
Notary Public		
Judith Bachman Notary Public, State of New York No. 02-BA6048319 Qualified in Rockland County Commission Traires Sopt. 25, 200	JUDITH BACHMAN LAW OFFICES OF JUDITH BACHMAN 254 S. Main Street, Suite 306 New City, New York 10956 (845) 639-3210 jlbesq_99@yahoo.com Attorneys for Petitioner Dalia Genger	